**COMPUTER ARCHITECTURE PROJECT**

**PL-I 4-D**

**Assignment of the Teamwork**

The project was divided among the three members of the teamwork as follows:

* Phase 2.2.1, corresponding to the SIMD program was assigned to Martín and Adrián
* Phase 2.2.2, corresponding to the multi-threaded program was assigned to Hugo
* Regarding phase 2.1, corresponding to the single-threaded program, Martín single-handedly did it without any of the other members questioning it.

**Algorithm**

The algorithm of the program is explained in the documentation of the three programs that will be sent together with this report.

**Screenshots**

A statue in a city

Description automatically generatedA statue in a city

Description automatically generatedBoth the original and filtered image are shown here:

Then, using the application diffImages, we compare the images generated by the single-threaded program and both the SIMD and multi-threaded programs.
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**Response time and Standard deviation**

This data has been calculated in an excel sheet that will be sent together with this report.

**Results**

After measuring the times, we reach a conclusion that both the SIMD and multi-threaded programs are faster than the single-threaded programs. This is the case for the SIMD program, as the functions it provides achieve a better performance of the program. The multi-threaded program, instead, splits the tasks into several threads allowing for the development of different parts of the program in parallel, reducing the overall time it takes for the program to process the image.

In our particular case, the SIMD program and single-threaded program have very similar times due to a poorly performance of the hardware available to us, which made the analysis a bit more challenging to complete.